

# One-and-a-halfth-order Logic

Aad Mathijssen

Murdoch J. Gabbay

22th June 2006

#### Motivation

Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$
- if  $b \not\in fn(\phi)$  then  $\forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket \rrbracket$

These are *not valid syntax* in first-order logic, because of *meta-level concepts*:

- meta-variables varying over syntax:  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$ , a, b, t
- properties of syntax:  $a \notin fn(\phi)$ ,  $\phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$ ,  $\alpha$ -equivalence

Is there a logic in which the above assertions can be expressed directly in the syntax?



# Motivation (2)

Consider the following derivations in Gentzen's sequent calculus:

$$\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\overline{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi}(\supset \mathbf{R})} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$
$$\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\mathsf{p}}(d), \mathsf{p}(c) \vdash \mathsf{p}(c)}{\mathsf{p}(c) \vdash \mathsf{p}(d) \supset \mathsf{p}(c)} ( \supset \mathbf{R})}{\vdash \mathsf{p}(c) \supset \mathsf{p}(d) \supset \mathsf{p}(c)} ( \supset \mathbf{R})$$

And for  $b \not\in fn(\phi)$ :

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a.\phi \vdash \forall b.\phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\vdash \forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\forall c. \mathsf{p}(c) \vdash \forall d. \mathsf{p}(d)}{\vdash \forall c. \mathsf{p}(c) \supset \forall d. \mathsf{p}(d)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})$$

The left ones are not derivations, they are *schemas* of derivations. When p is a *specific* atomic predicate and c and d are *specific* variables, the right ones are derivations; they are *instances* of the schemas on the left.

Is there a logic in which the derivation on the left is a derivation too?



#### Motivation (3)

First-order logic and its proof systems formalise reasoning.

But also a lot of reasoning is *about* first-order logic.

So why shouldn't that be formalised?

One-and-a-halfth-order logic does this by means of formalising:

- meta-variables
- properties of syntax



#### Overview

- Introduction to one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Relation to first-order logic
- Semantics of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Conclusions, related and future work



#### Introduction

In the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- Unknowns P, Q and T represent meta-variables  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and t.
- Atoms a and b represent meta-variables a and b.
- Freshness a # P represents  $a \not\in fn(\phi)$ .
- Explicit substitution  $P[a \mapsto T]$  represents  $\phi[a \mapsto t]$ .



# Introduction (2)

The meta-level assertions in first-order logic

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$
- if  $b \not\in fn(\phi)$  then  $\forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket$

correspond to valid assertions in the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $\bullet$   $P \supset Q \supset P$
- $a \# P \to P \supset \forall [a] P$
- $a\#P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$
- $b \# P \to \forall [a] P \supset \forall [b] P [a \mapsto b]$



# Introduction (3)

In sequent derivations of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- *Contexts of freshnesses* are added to the sequents.
- Derivability of freshnesses are added as side-conditions.
- Substitutional equivalence on terms is added as two derivation rules, taking care of  $\alpha$ -equivalence and substitution.



#### Introduction (4)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi}, \phi \vdash \phi}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi}(\supset \mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\overline{\mathsf{p}(d)}, \mathsf{p}(c) \vdash \mathsf{p}(c)}{\overline{\mathsf{p}(c)} \vdash \mathsf{p}(d) \supset \mathsf{p}(c)} ( \supset \mathbf{R}) \\ \vdash \mathsf{p}(c) \supset \mathsf{p}(d) \supset \mathsf{p}(c)} ( \supset \mathbf{R})$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{Q,P}\vdash_{_{\emptyset}}P}{P\vdash_{_{\emptyset}}Q\supset P}(\supset\mathbf{R})\\ \vdash_{_{\emptyset}}P\supset Q\supset P}(\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathsf{p}(d),\mathsf{p}(c)\vdash_{_{\emptyset}}\mathsf{p}(c)}{\mathsf{p}(c)\vdash_{_{\emptyset}}\mathsf{p}(d)\supset\mathsf{p}(c)}(\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash_{_{\emptyset}}\mathsf{p}(c)\supset\mathsf{p}(d)\supset\mathsf{p}(c)}(\supset\mathbf{R})$$



#### Introduction (5)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic, where  $b \notin fn(\phi)$ ,

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a.\phi \vdash \forall b.\phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\vdash \forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} (\supset \mathbf{R}) \qquad \frac{\overline{\forall c.\mathsf{p}(c) \vdash \forall d.\mathsf{p}(d)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\vdash \forall c.\mathsf{p}(c) \supset \forall d.\mathsf{p}(d)} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\forall [a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall [a]P \stackrel{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{}}{\forall [a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall [b]P[a \mapsto b]} (\mathbf{StructR}) \qquad (b\#P \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \forall [a]P = \forall [b]P[a \mapsto b])$$

$$\frac{\forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c) \vdash_{\flat} \forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c)}{\forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c) \vdash_{\flat} \forall [d]\mathsf{p}(d)} (\mathbf{StructR}) \qquad (\emptyset \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c) = \forall [d]\mathsf{p}(d))$$

$$\frac{\forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c) \vdash_{\flat} \forall [d]\mathsf{p}(d)}{\vdash_{\flat} \forall [c]\mathsf{p}(c) \supset \forall [d]\mathsf{p}(d)} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$



# Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

We use **Nominal Terms** to specify the syntax, since they have built-in support for:

- meta-variables
- binding
- freshness

Nominal terms allow for a *direct* and *natural* representation of systems with binding.

Nominal terms are *first-order*, not higher-order.



# **Sorts**

**Base sorts**  $\mathbb{P}$  for 'predicates' and  $\mathbb{T}$  for 'terms'.

**Atomic sort**  $\mathbb{A}$  for the object-level variables.

Sorts  $\tau$ :

$$\tau ::= \mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{T} \mid \mathbb{A} \mid [\mathbb{A}] \tau$$

#### **Terms**

**Atoms** a, b, c, ... have sort  $\mathbb{A}$ ; they represent *object-level* variable symbols.

**Unknowns**  $X, Y, Z, \ldots$  have sort  $\tau$ ; they represent *meta-level* variable symbols. Let P, Q, R be unknowns of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ , and T, U of sort  $\mathbb{T}$ .

We call  $\pi \cdot X$  a moderated unknown.

This represents the **permutation of atoms**  $\pi$  acting on an unknown term.

**Term-formers**  $f_{\rho}$  have an associated **arity**  $\rho = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau$ .  $f : \rho$  means 'f with arity  $\rho$ '.

**Terms** *t*, subscripts indicate sorting rules:

$$t ::= a_{\mathbb{A}} \mid (\pi \cdot X_{\tau})_{\tau} \mid ([a_{\mathbb{A}}]t_{\tau})_{[\mathbb{A}]\tau} \mid (\mathsf{f}_{(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{n})\tau}(t_{\tau_{1}}^{1}, \dots, t_{\tau_{n}}^{n}))_{\tau}$$

Write f for f() if n = 0.

# Terms (2)

Term-formers for one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $\bot$  : () $\mathbb{P}$  represents *falsity*
- $\supset$ :  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$  represents implication, write  $\phi \supset \psi$  for  $\supset (\phi, \psi)$ ;
- $\forall$  :  $([\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$  represents universal quantification, write  $\forall [a]\phi$  for  $\forall ([a]\phi)$
- $\approx$ :  $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$  represents object-level equality, write  $t \approx u$  for  $\approx (t, u)$
- var :  $(\mathbb{A})\mathbb{T}$  is *variable casting*, forced upon us by the sort system, write a for var(a)
- sub :  $([\mathbb{A}]\tau, \mathbb{T})\tau$ , where  $\tau \in \{\mathbb{T}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P}\}$ , is *explicit substitution*, write  $v[a \mapsto t]$  for sub([a]v, t)
- ullet  $p_1, \ldots, p_n : (\mathbb{T}, \ldots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$  are object-level predicate term-formers
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m : (\mathbb{T}, \ldots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{T}$  are object-level term-formers



# Terms (3)

We may call terms  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  of sort  $\mathbb{P}$  predicates.

Sugar:

Descending order of operator precedence:

$$[a]_{-}, [\_ \mapsto \_], \approx, \{\neg, \forall, \exists\}, \{\land, \lor\}, \supset, \Leftrightarrow$$

 $\land$ ,  $\lor$ ,  $\supset$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  associate to the right.

Example terms of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$P\supset Q\supset P \qquad P\supset \forall [a]P \qquad P\supset P[a\mapsto T] \qquad \forall [a]P\supset \forall [b]P[a\mapsto b]$$



#### **Freshness**

**Freshness (assertions)** a#t, which means 'a is fresh for t. If t is an unknown X, the freshness is called **primitive**.

A **freshness context**  $\Delta$  is a set of *primitive* freshnesses.

Example freshness contexts:

$$\emptyset \qquad a\#X \qquad a\#P,b\#Q$$

We call  $\Delta \to t$  a **term-in-context**. We may write t if  $\Delta = \emptyset$ .

Example terms-in-context of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$P \supset Q \supset P \qquad a\#P \to P \supset \forall [a]P$$
 
$$a\#P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T] \qquad b\#P \to \forall [a]P \supset \forall [b]P[a \mapsto b]$$



#### Derivability of freshness

$$\frac{a\#b}{a\#b} (\#\mathbf{ab}) \quad \frac{\pi^{-1}(a)\#X}{a\#\pi \cdot X} (\#\mathbf{X})$$

$$\frac{a\#[a]t}{a\#[b]t} (\#[]\mathbf{b}) \quad \frac{a\#t_1 \cdots a\#t_n}{a\#f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\#\mathbf{f})$$

a and b range over distinct atoms.

Write  $\Delta \vdash a \# t$  when there exists a derivation of a # t using the elements of  $\Delta$  as assumptions. Say that a # t is derivable from  $\Delta$ .

Examples:

$$\vdash a\#b \qquad \vdash a\#\forall [a]P \qquad a\#P \vdash a\#\forall [b]P$$

#### Derivability of equality

**Equality (assertions)** t = u, where t and u are of the same sort.

Nominal Algebra is the logic of equality between nominal terms.

Derivability:

$$\frac{t = u}{t = t} (\mathbf{refl}) \quad \frac{t = u}{u = t} (\mathbf{symm}) \quad \frac{t = u}{t = v} (\mathbf{tran})$$

$$\frac{t = u}{C[t] = C[u]} (\mathbf{cong}) \quad \frac{a \# t \quad b \# t}{(a \ b) \cdot t = t} (\mathbf{perm})$$

$$\frac{\Delta^{\pi} \sigma}{t^{\pi} \sigma = u^{\pi} \sigma} (\mathbf{ax_A}) A \text{ is } \Delta \to t = u$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{t = u}{t = u} (\mathbf{fr}) \quad (a \not\in t, u, \Delta)$$

Write  $\Delta \vdash_{\tau} t = u$  when t = u is derivable from  $\Delta$  using axioms A from T only.



# Derivability of equality (2)

Nominal algebraic theory SUB of explicit substitution:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{var} \mapsto) & a[a \mapsto T] = T \\ (\# \mapsto) & a\#X \to X[a \mapsto T] = X \\ (\mathbf{f} \mapsto) & \mathsf{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n)[a \mapsto T] = \mathsf{f}(X_1[a \mapsto T], \dots, X_n[a \mapsto T]) \\ (\mathbf{abs} \mapsto) & b\#T \to ([b]X)[a \mapsto T] = [b](X[a \mapsto T]) \\ (\mathbf{ren} \mapsto) & b\#X \to X[a \mapsto b] = (b\ a) \cdot X \\ \end{array}$$

Examples:

$$\begin{split} b\#P \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{SUB}}} \forall [a]P = \forall [b]P[a \mapsto b] \\ \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{SUB}}} X[a \mapsto a] = X \\ a\#Y \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{SUB}}} Z[a \mapsto X][b \mapsto Y] = Z[b \mapsto Y][a \mapsto X[b \mapsto Y]] \end{split}$$



# Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic

Let **(predicate) contexts**  $\Phi, \Psi$  be finite sets of predicates. Examples:

$$\emptyset$$
  $\phi$   $\phi, \Phi$   $\Phi, \Phi'$ 

A **sequent** is a triple  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ .

We may omit empty predicate contexts, e.g. writing  $\vdash_{\wedge}$  for  $\emptyset \vdash_{\wedge} \emptyset$ .

Define derivability on sequents...



# Sequent calculus (2)

Rules resembling Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{\phi}, \overline{\Phi} \vdash_{\Delta} \overline{\Psi}, \overline{\phi}}{\overline{\phi}, \overline{\Phi} \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\Delta \mathbf{X}) \qquad \overline{\bot, \overline{\Phi} \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\bot \mathbf{L})$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\overline{\phi} \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\supset \mathbf{L}) \qquad \frac{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\overline{\Phi} \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\forall [a]\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\forall \mathbf{L}) \qquad \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\overline{\Phi} \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \forall [a]\psi} (\forall \mathbf{R}) \quad (\Delta \vdash a \# \Phi, \Psi)$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t'], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{t' \approx t, \phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\approx \mathbf{L}) \qquad \overline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, t \approx t} (\approx \mathbf{R})$$



# Sequent calculus (3)

Other rules:

$$\frac{\phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\mathbf{StructL}) \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \phi' = \phi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi'}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} (\mathbf{StructR}) \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \psi' = \psi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta \cup \{a\#X_1, \dots, a\#X_n\}} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\mathbf{Fresh}) \quad (a \not\in \Phi, \Psi, \Delta)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\mathbf{Cut}) \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \phi = \phi')$$



# **Example derivations**

Derivation of  $a\#P \to P \supset \forall [a]P$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P} \vdash_{a\#P} \overline{P} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{P \vdash_{a\#P} \forall [a] P} (\forall \mathbf{R})}{\vdash_{a\#P} \overline{P} \supset \forall [a] P} (\supset \mathbf{R})} (a\#P \vdash a\#P)$$

Derivation of  $a\#P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P} \vdash_{a^{\#P}} \overline{P} \left( \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \right)}{P \vdash_{a^{\#P}} P \left[ a \mapsto T \right]} \left( \mathbf{StructR} \right) \quad (a \# P \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T])}{\vdash_{a^{\#P}} P \supset P[a \mapsto T]} \left( \supset \mathbf{R} \right)$$

#### Properties of the sequent calculus

We may permute atoms and instantiate unknowns in derivations.

**Theorem 1** If  $\Pi$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ , then  $\Pi^{\pi}$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi^{\pi} \vdash_{\Delta^{\pi}} \Psi^{\pi}$ .

**Theorem 2** If  $\Pi$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$  and  $\Delta' \vdash \Delta \sigma$ , then  $\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi \sigma \vdash_{\Delta'} \Psi \sigma$ .

 $\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is  $\Pi$  in which:

- ullet each unknown X is replaced by  $\sigma(X)$
- ullet each freshness context  $\Delta$  is replaced by  $\Delta'$



# Properties of the sequent calculus (2)

For example,  $\Pi$  is the derivation of  $a\#P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$ :

$$\frac{\overline{P \vdash_{a^{\#P}} P} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{P \vdash_{a^{\#P}} P[a \mapsto T]} (\mathbf{StructR}) \quad (a\#P \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T]) \\ \vdash_{a^{\#P}} P \supset P[a \mapsto T] (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

Take  $\sigma = [p(c)/P, d/T]$  and  $\Delta' = \emptyset$ , then:

- $\Delta' \vdash \Delta \sigma$ , i.e.  $\emptyset \vdash a \# p(c)$
- $\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is the following valid derivation of  $p(c) \supset p(c)[a \mapsto d]$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\mathbf{p}(c)} \vdash_{\emptyset} \mathbf{p}(c)}{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}}{\frac{\mathbf{p}(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \mathbf{p}(c)[a \mapsto d]}{(\mathbf{Struct}\mathbf{R})}} (\mathbf{Struct}\mathbf{R}) \quad (\emptyset \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \mathbf{p}(c) = \mathbf{p}(c)[a \mapsto d])$$



# Properties of the sequent calculus (3)

**Theorem 3** [Cut elimination] The (**Cut**) rule is admissible in the system without it.

**Corollary 4** The sequent calculus is **consistent**, i.e.  $\vdash_{\land}$  can never be derived.



#### Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

Theory FOL extends theory SUB with the following axioms:

$$P\supset Q\supset P=\top \quad \neg\neg P\supset P=\top \qquad \text{(Props)}$$
 
$$(P\supset Q)\supset (Q\supset R)\supset (P\supset R)=\top \quad \bot\supset P=\top \qquad \qquad \forall [a]P\supset P[a\mapsto T]=\top \qquad \qquad \text{(Quants)}$$
 
$$\forall [a](P\land Q)\Leftrightarrow \forall [a]P\land \forall [a]Q=\top \qquad \qquad a\#P \rightarrow \forall [a](P\supset Q)\Leftrightarrow P\supset \forall [a]Q=\top \qquad \qquad T\approx T=\top \qquad U\approx T\land P[a\mapsto T]\supset P[a\mapsto U]=\top \qquad \text{(Eq)}$$

Axioms are all of the form  $\phi = \top$ , which intuitively means ' $\phi$  is true'.

Note that this is a *finite* number of axioms.

#### Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (2)

The **conjunctive form**  $\Phi^{\wedge}$  of a predicate contexts  $\Phi$  is  $\Phi$  where we put  $\wedge$  between its elements. Analogously, define its **disjunctive form** by putting  $\vee$  between its elements. For example:

$$\emptyset^{\wedge} = \top$$
  $\{\phi, \psi\}^{\wedge} = \phi \wedge \psi$   $\emptyset^{\vee} = \bot$   $\{\phi, \psi\}^{\vee} = \phi \vee \psi$ 

**Theorem 5** For all predicate contexts  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and freshness contexts  $\Delta$ :

$$\Phi \vdash_{\wedge} \Psi \text{ is derivable} \quad \text{iff} \quad \Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{FOI}} \Phi^{\wedge} \supset \Psi^{\vee} = \top.$$

So sequent and equational derivability are equivalent.

**Corollary 6** Theory FOL is consistent, i.e.  $\Delta \vdash_{FOL} \top = \bot$  does not hold.



# Relation to First-order Logic

Call a term or a predicate context **ground** if it does not contain unknowns or explicit substitutions.

Call  $\Phi \vdash \Psi$  a **first-order sequent**, when  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are ground predicate contexts.

Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{\phi}, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \overline{\phi} \ (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\overline{\psi}, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \overline{\phi} \ \psi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\supset \mathbf{L}) \qquad \frac{\overline{\phi}, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \psi}{\overline{\Phi} \vdash \Psi, \ \overline{\phi} \supset \psi} \ (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\overline{\phi} \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi}{\forall a.\phi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\forall \mathbf{L}) \qquad \frac{\overline{\Phi} \vdash \Psi, \ \phi}{\overline{\Phi} \vdash \Psi, \ \forall a.\phi} \ (\forall \mathbf{R}) \quad (a \not\in fn(\Phi, \Psi))$$

$$\frac{\overline{\phi} \llbracket a \mapsto t' \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi}{t' \approx t, \ \phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\approx \mathbf{L}) \qquad \overline{\Phi} \vdash \Psi, \ t \approx t \ (\approx \mathbf{R})$$



# Relation to First-order Logic (2)

#### Note that:

- we write  $\forall a. \phi$  for  $\forall [a] \phi$
- $[a \mapsto t]$  is capture-avoiding substitution
- $a \not\in fn(\phi)$  is 'a does not occur in the free names of  $\phi$ '
- we take predicates up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence

**Theorem 7**  $\Phi \vdash \Psi$  is derivable in the sequent calculus for first-order logic, iff  $\Phi \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle{\emptyset}} \Psi$  is derivable in the sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

So on ground terms, one-and-a-halfth-order logic is first-order logic.



#### **Semantics**

For closed terms t, its **ground form**  $t[\![]\!]$  is t in which each explicit substitution  $v[a\mapsto u]$  is replaced by  $v[\![a\mapsto u]\!]$  bottom-up in the syntax.

**Theorem 8** For closed terms t,  $\vdash_{SUB} t = t$ 

Call a substitution  $\sigma$  closing for a term t if  $t\sigma$  is closed.

A term-in-context  $\Delta \to \phi$  is **valid** iff for all closing substitutions  $\sigma$  (for  $\phi$ ) for which  $\vdash \Delta \sigma$  holds,  $\phi \sigma$  [] is valid in the semantics of first-order logic.

The sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic is **sound** for this semantics:

**Theorem 9** If  $\vdash_{\wedge} \phi$  is derivable then  $\Delta \to \phi$  is valid.



#### **Conclusions**

#### Using nominal terms, we can:

- *accurately* represent systems with binding: e.g. explicit substitution and first-order logic
- specify *novel* systems with their own mathematical interest: e.g. one-and-a-halfth-order logic

#### One-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- makes meta-level concepts of first-order logic *explicit*
- has a sequent calculus with *syntax-directed* rules
- has a *semantics* in first-order logic
- has a *finite* equational axiomatisation
- is the *result* of axiomatising first-order logic in nominal algebra

#### Related work

In **second-order logic (SOL)** we can quantify over predicates *anywhere*, which makes it more expressive than one-and-a-halfh-order logic.

On the other hand, we can easily extend theory FOL with *one* axiom to express the principle of induction on natural numbers:

$$P[a \mapsto 0] \land \forall [a](P \supset P[a \mapsto succ(a)]) \supset \forall [a]P = \top.$$

**Higher-order logic (HOL)** is type raising, while our logic is *not*:

- $\bullet$   $P[a \mapsto t]$  corresponds to f(t) in HOL, where  $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{P}$
- ullet  $P[a\mapsto t][a'\mapsto t']$  corresponds to f'(t)(t') where  $f':\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{P}$
- ...

One-and-a-halfth-order logic is not a subset of SOL or HOL because of freshnesses.



#### **Future work**

- Completeness of the sequent calculus with respect to the semantics.
- Let unknowns range over *sequent derivations*, and establish a Curry-Howard correspondence (term-in-contexts as types, derivations as terms).
- Two-and-a-halfth-order logic (where you can abstract X)?
- Implementation and automation?

#### **Current status**

- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Nominal Algebra, submitted STACS'07.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Capture-avoiding Substitution as a Nominal Algebra, submitted ICTAC'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, One-and-a-halfth-order Logic, PPDP'06.



#### Just to scare you

#### Side-conditions:

I. 
$$c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall [a]P[b \mapsto c] = (\forall [a]P)[b \mapsto c]$$

2. 
$$c\#P \vdash c\#\forall [b]\forall [a]P$$

3. 
$$c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall [c]P[b\mapsto c][a\mapsto c] = \forall [a]P[b\mapsto a]$$

4. 
$$c \notin \forall [b] \forall [a] P, \forall [a] P[b \mapsto a]$$