

# One-and-a-halfth-order Logic

Aad Mathijssen

Murdoch J. Gabbay

6th June 2006

# Motivation

Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi[a \mapsto t]$
- if  $b \notin fn(\phi)$  then  $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]$

# Motivation

Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi[a \mapsto t]$
- if  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]$

These are *not valid syntax* in first-order logic, because of *meta-level concepts*:

- meta-variables *varying* over syntax:  $\phi, \psi, a, b, t$
- properties of syntax:  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi), \phi[a \mapsto t], \alpha$ -equivalence

# Motivation

Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi[[a \mapsto t]]$
- if  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[[a \mapsto b]]$

These are *not valid syntax* in first-order logic, because of *meta-level concepts*:

- meta-variables *varying* over syntax:  $\phi, \psi, a, b, t$
- properties of syntax:  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi), \phi[[a \mapsto t]], \alpha$ -equivalence

Is there a logic in which the above assertions can be expressed directly in the syntax?

## Motivation (2)

Consider the following derivations in Gentzen's sequent calculus:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} \text{ (Ax)}}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

And for  $b \notin fn(\phi)$ :

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a. \phi \vdash \forall b. \phi[a \mapsto b]} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi[a \mapsto b]} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c. p(c) \vdash \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall c. p(c) \supset \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

## Motivation (2)

Consider the following derivations in Gentzen's sequent calculus:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} \text{ (Ax)}}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

And for  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ :

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a. \phi \vdash \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c. p(c) \vdash \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall c. p(c) \supset \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

The left ones are not derivations, they are *schemas* of derivations.

When  $p$  is a *specific* atomic predicate and  $c$  and  $d$  are *specific* variables, the right ones are derivations; they are *instances* of the schemas on the left.

## Motivation (2)

Consider the following derivations in Gentzen's sequent calculus:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} \text{ (Ax)}}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

And for  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ :

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a. \phi \vdash \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c. p(c) \vdash \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall c. p(c) \supset \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

The left ones are not derivations, they are *schemas* of derivations.

When  $p$  is a *specific* atomic predicate and  $c$  and  $d$  are *specific* variables, the right ones are derivations; they are *instances* of the schemas on the left.

Is there a logic in which the derivation on the left is a derivation too?

## Motivation (3)

First-order logic and its proof systems formalise *reasoning*.

But also a lot of reasoning is *about* first-order logic.

So why shouldn't that be formalised?



## Motivation (3)

First-order logic and its proof systems formalise *reasoning*.

But also a lot of reasoning is *about* first-order logic.

So why shouldn't that be formalised?

**One-and-a-halfth-order logic** does this by means of:

- formalising meta-variables;
- making properties of syntax explicit.

# Overview

- Introduction to one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Relation to first-order logic
- Semantics of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Conclusions, related and future work

# Introduction

In the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- *Unknowns*  $P, Q$  and  $T$  represent meta-variables  $\phi, \psi$  and  $t$ .
- *Atoms*  $a$  and  $b$  represent meta-variables  $a$  and  $b$ .
- *Freshness*  $a\#P$  represents  $a \notin fn(\phi)$ .
- *Explicit substitution*  $P[a \mapsto T]$  represents  $\phi[[a \mapsto t]]$ .

## Introduction (2)

The meta-level assertions in first-order logic

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if  $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\phi \supset \phi[a \mapsto t]$
- if  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$  then  $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]$

correspond to valid assertions in the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $P \supset Q \supset P$
- $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P$
- $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$
- $b\#P \rightarrow \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]$

## Introduction (3)

In sequent derivations of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- *Contexts of freshnesses* are added to the sequents.
- *Derivability of freshnesses* are added as side-conditions.
- *Substitutional equivalence on terms* is added as two derivation rules, taking care of  $\alpha$ -equivalence and substitution.

## Introduction (4)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} (\mathbf{Ax})}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} (\mathbf{Ax})}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{Q, P \vdash P} (\mathbf{Ax})}{P \vdash Q \supset P} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash P \supset Q \supset P} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} (\mathbf{Ax})}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

# Introduction (5)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic, where  $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ ,

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a.\phi \vdash \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]}}{\vdash \forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{Ax}) \\ (\supset\mathbf{R}) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c.p(c) \vdash \forall d.p(d)}}{\vdash \forall c.p(c) \supset \forall d.p(d)} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{Ax}) \\ (\supset\mathbf{R}) \end{array}$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[a]P}}{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]}} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{Ax}) \\ (\mathbf{StructR}) \end{array} \quad (b\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P = \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b])$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]}}{\vdash_{b\#P} \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]} \quad (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[c]p(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[c]p(c)}}{\overline{\forall[c]p(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[d]p(d)}} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{Ax}) \\ (\mathbf{StructR}) \end{array} \quad (\emptyset \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[c]p(c) = \forall[d]p(d))$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[c]p(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[d]p(d)}}{\vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[c]p(c) \supset \forall[d]p(d)} \quad (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

# Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

We use **Nominal Terms** to specify the syntax, since they have built-in support for: *meta-variables*, *freshness* and *binding*.

Nominal terms allow for a *direct* and *natural* representation of systems with binding.

Nominal terms are *first-order*, not higher-order.

Timeline of nominal terms:

- FM Set Theory (Gabbay, Pitts)
- Nominal Sets (Gabbay, Pitts)
- Nominal Terms (Urban, Pitts, Gabbay)
- Nominal Rewriting (Fernández, Gabbay)
- Nominal Algebra (Gabbay, Mathijssen)



# Sorts

**Base sorts**  $\mathbb{P}$  for ‘predicates’ and  $\mathbb{T}$  for ‘terms’.

**Atomic sort**  $\mathbb{A}$  for the object-level variables.

**Sorts**  $\tau$ :

$$\tau ::= \mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{T} \mid \mathbb{A} \mid [\mathbb{A}]\tau$$

# Terms

**Atoms**  $a, b, c, \dots$  have sort  $\mathbb{A}$ ; they represent *object-level* variable symbols.

**Unknowns**  $X, Y, Z, \dots$  have sort  $\tau$ ; they represent *meta-level* variable symbols.  
Let  $P, Q, R$  be unknowns of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ , and  $T, U$  of sort  $\mathbb{T}$ .

We call  $\pi \cdot X$  a **moderated unknown**.

This represents the **permutation of atoms**  $\pi$  acting on an unknown term.

**Term-formers**  $f_\rho$  have an associated **arity**  $\rho = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau$ .

$f : \rho$  means ‘ $f$  with arity  $\rho$ ’.

**Terms**  $t$ , subscripts indicate sorting rules:

$$t ::= a_{\mathbb{A}} \mid (\pi \cdot X_\tau)_\tau \mid ([a_{\mathbb{A}}]t_\tau)_{[\mathbb{A}]\tau} \mid (f_{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau}(t_{\tau_1}^1, \dots, t_{\tau_n}^n))_\tau$$

Write  $f$  for  $f()$  if  $n = 0$ .

## Terms (2)

Term-formers for one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $\perp : ()\mathbb{P}$  represents *falsity*;
- $\supset : (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$  represents *implication*, write  $\phi \supset \psi$  for  $\supset(\phi, \psi)$ ;
- $\forall : ([\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$  represents *universal quantification*, write  $\forall[a]\phi$  for  $\forall([a]\phi)$ ;
- $\approx : (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$  represents *object-level equality*, write  $t \approx u$  for  $\approx(t, u)$ ;
- $\text{var} : (\mathbb{A})\mathbb{T}$  is *variable casting*, forced upon us by the sort system, write  $a$  for  $\text{var}(a)$ ;
- $\text{sub} : ([\mathbb{A}]\tau, \mathbb{T})\tau$ , where  $\tau \in \{\mathbb{T}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P}\}$ , is *explicit substitution*, write  $v[a \mapsto t]$  for  $\text{sub}([a]v, t)$ ;
- $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n : (\mathbb{T}, \dots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$  are *object-level predicate term-formers*;
- $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m : (\mathbb{T}, \dots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{T}$  are *object-level term-formers*.

## Terms (3)

Sugar:

$$\begin{array}{l} \top \text{ is } \perp \supset \perp \quad \neg\phi \text{ is } \phi \supset \perp \quad \phi \wedge \psi \text{ is } \neg(\phi \supset \neg\psi) \\ \phi \vee \psi \text{ is } \neg\phi \supset \psi \quad \phi \Leftrightarrow \psi \text{ is } (\phi \supset \psi) \wedge (\psi \supset \phi) \quad \exists[a]\phi \text{ is } \neg\forall[a]\neg\phi \end{array}$$

Descending order of operator precedence:

$$[a]_, \_[- \mapsto \_], \approx, \{\neg, \forall, \exists\}, \{\wedge, \vee\}, \supset, \Leftrightarrow$$

$\wedge, \vee, \supset$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  associate to the right.

## Terms (3)

Sugar:

$$\begin{array}{l} \top \text{ is } \perp \supset \perp \quad \neg\phi \text{ is } \phi \supset \perp \quad \phi \wedge \psi \text{ is } \neg(\phi \supset \neg\psi) \\ \phi \vee \psi \text{ is } \neg\phi \supset \psi \quad \phi \Leftrightarrow \psi \text{ is } (\phi \supset \psi) \wedge (\psi \supset \phi) \quad \exists[a]\phi \text{ is } \neg\forall[a]\neg\phi \end{array}$$

Descending order of operator precedence:

$$[a]_, \_[- \mapsto \_], \approx, \{\neg, \forall, \exists\}, \{\wedge, \vee\}, \supset, \Leftrightarrow$$

$\wedge, \vee, \supset$  and  $\Leftrightarrow$  associate to the right.

Example terms of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$P \supset Q \supset P \quad P \supset \forall[a]P \quad P \supset P[a \mapsto T] \quad \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]$$

# Freshness

**Freshness (assertions)**  $a\#t$ , which means ‘ $a$  is fresh for  $t$ ’.  
If  $t$  is an unknown  $X$ , the freshness is called **primitive**.

A **freshness context**  $\Delta$  is a set of *primitive* freshnesses.

Example freshness contexts:

$$\emptyset \quad a\#X \quad a\#P, b\#Q \quad a\#P, Q$$

# Freshness

**Freshness (assertions)**  $a \# t$ , which means ‘ $a$  is fresh for  $t$ ’.  
If  $t$  is an unknown  $X$ , the freshness is called **primitive**.

A **freshness context**  $\Delta$  is a set of *primitive* freshnesses.

Example freshness contexts:

$$\emptyset \quad a \# X \quad a \# P, b \# Q \quad a \# P, Q$$

We call  $\Delta \rightarrow t$  a **term-in-context**.

We may write  $t$  if  $\Delta = \emptyset$ .

Example terms-in-context of sort  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} P \supset Q \supset P & a \# P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P \\ a \# P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T] & b \# P \rightarrow \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b] \end{array}$$

# Derivability of freshness

$$\frac{}{a \# b} (\# \mathbf{ab}) \quad \frac{\pi^{-1}(a) \# X}{a \# \pi \cdot X} (\# \mathbf{X})$$

$$\frac{}{a \# [a]t} (\# [] \mathbf{a}) \quad \frac{a \# t}{a \# [b]t} (\# [] \mathbf{b}) \quad \frac{a \# t_1 \cdots a \# t_n}{a \# \mathbf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\# \mathbf{f})$$

$a$  and  $b$  range over distinct atoms.

Write  $\Delta \vdash a \# t$  when there exists a derivation of  $a \# t$  using the elements of  $\Delta$  as assumptions. Say that  $a \# t$  is **derivable from**  $\Delta$ .



# Derivability of freshness

$$\frac{}{a \# b} (\# \mathbf{ab}) \quad \frac{\pi^{-1}(a) \# X}{a \# \pi \cdot X} (\# \mathbf{X})$$

$$\frac{}{a \# [a]t} (\# [] \mathbf{a}) \quad \frac{a \# t}{a \# [b]t} (\# [] \mathbf{b}) \quad \frac{a \# t_1 \cdots a \# t_n}{a \# \mathbf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\# \mathbf{f})$$

$a$  and  $b$  range over distinct atoms.

Write  $\Delta \vdash a \# t$  when there exists a derivation of  $a \# t$  using the elements of  $\Delta$  as assumptions. Say that  $a \# t$  is **derivable from**  $\Delta$ .

Examples:

$$\vdash a \# \forall[a]P \quad a \# P \vdash a \# \forall[b]P \quad a \# T, U \vdash a \# T \approx U$$

# Derivability of equality

**Equality (assertions)**  $t = u$ , where  $t$  and  $u$  are of the same sort.

**Nominal Algebra** is the logic of equality between nominal terms.

Derivability:

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ (refl)} \quad \frac{t = u}{u = t} \text{ (symm)} \quad \frac{t = u \quad u = v}{t = v} \text{ (tran)}$$

$$\frac{t = u}{C[t] = C[u]} \text{ (cong)} \quad \frac{a \# t \quad b \# t}{(a \ b) \cdot t = t} \text{ (perm)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta^\pi \sigma}{t^\pi \sigma = u^\pi \sigma} \text{ (ax}_A\text{)} \quad A \text{ is } \Delta \rightarrow t = u \quad \begin{array}{c} [a \# X_1, \dots, a \# X_n] \\ \Delta \\ \vdots \\ t = u \\ t = u \end{array} \text{ (fr)} \quad (a \notin t, u, \Delta)$$

Write  $\Delta \vdash_\tau t = u$  when  $t = u$  is **derivable from**  $\Delta$  using **axioms**  $A$  from  $\mathsf{T}$  only.

## Derivability of equality (2)

Nominal algebraic theory SUB of explicit substitution:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{var} \mapsto) \quad a[a \mapsto T] = T \\ (\# \mapsto) \quad a\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto T] = X \\ (\mathbf{f} \mapsto) \quad \mathbf{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n)[a \mapsto T] = \mathbf{f}(X_1[a \mapsto T], \dots, X_n[a \mapsto T]) \\ (\mathbf{abs} \mapsto) \quad b\#T \rightarrow ([b]X)[a \mapsto T] = [b](X[a \mapsto T]) \\ (\mathbf{ren} \mapsto) \quad b\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto b] = (b a) \cdot X \end{array}$$

## Derivability of equality (2)

Nominal algebraic theory SUB of explicit substitution:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\mathbf{var} \mapsto) \quad a[a \mapsto T] = T \\
 (\# \mapsto) \quad a\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto T] = X \\
 (\mathbf{f} \mapsto) \quad \mathbf{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n)[a \mapsto T] = \mathbf{f}(X_1[a \mapsto T], \dots, X_n[a \mapsto T]) \\
 (\mathbf{abs} \mapsto) \quad b\#T \rightarrow ([b]X)[a \mapsto T] = [b](X[a \mapsto T]) \\
 (\mathbf{ren} \mapsto) \quad b\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto b] = (b a) \cdot X
 \end{array}$$

Examples:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 b\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P = \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b] \\
 \vdash_{\text{SUB}} X[a \mapsto a] = X \\
 a\#Y \vdash_{\text{SUB}} Z[a \mapsto X][b \mapsto Y] = Z[b \mapsto Y][a \mapsto X[b \mapsto Y]]
 \end{array}$$

# Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic

We may call terms of sort  $\mathbb{P}$  **predicates**, and denote them by  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

Let **(predicate) contexts**  $\Phi, \Psi$  be finite sets of predicates.

Examples:

$$\emptyset \quad \phi \quad \phi, \Phi \quad \Phi, \Phi'$$

A **sequent** is a triple  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ .

We may omit empty predicate contexts, e.g. writing  $\vdash_{\Delta}$  for  $\emptyset \vdash_{\Delta} \emptyset$ .

Define derivability on sequents...

## Sequent calculus (2)

Rules resembling Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\frac{}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi} (\mathbf{Ax}) \quad \frac{}{\perp, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\perp\mathbf{L})$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\supset\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\forall[a]\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\forall\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \forall[a]\psi} (\forall\mathbf{R}) \quad (\Delta \vdash a \# \Phi, \Psi)$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t'], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{t' \approx t, \phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\approx\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, t \approx t} (\approx\mathbf{R})$$

# Sequent calculus (3)

Other rules:

$$\frac{\phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (StructL)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \phi' = \phi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi'}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \psi' = \psi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta \cup \{a \# X_1, \dots, a \# X_n\}} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (Fresh)} \quad (a \notin \Phi, \Psi, \Delta)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (Cut)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \phi = \phi')$$

# Example derivations

Derivation of  $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P} \text{ (Ax)}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P} \text{ (\forall R)}}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset \forall[a]P} \text{ (\supset R)} \quad (a\#P \vdash a\#P)$$

Derivation of  $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P} \text{ (Ax)}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P} \text{ (StructR)}}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset P[a \mapsto T]} \text{ (\supset R)} \quad (a\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T])$$



# Properties of the sequent calculus

We may *permute* atoms and *instantiate* unknowns in derivations.

**Theorem 1** If  $\Pi$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ ,  
then  $\Pi^{\pi}$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi^{\pi} \vdash_{\Delta^{\pi}} \Psi^{\pi}$ .

**Theorem 2** If  $\Pi$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$  and  $\Delta' \vdash \Delta\sigma$ ,  
then  $\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is a valid derivation of  $\Phi\sigma \vdash_{\Delta'} \Psi\sigma$ .

$\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is  $\Pi$  in which:

- each unknown  $X$  is replaced by  $\sigma(X)$ ;
- each freshness context  $\Delta$  is replaced by  $\Delta'$ .

## Properties of the sequent calculus (2)

For example,  $\Pi$  is the derivation of  $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P} \text{ (Ax)}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P[a \mapsto T]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (a\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T])}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset P[a \mapsto T]} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

Take  $\sigma = [p(c)/P, d/T]$  and  $\Delta' = \emptyset$ , then:

- $\Delta' \vdash \Delta\sigma$ , i.e.  $\emptyset \vdash a\#p(c)$ ;
- $\Pi(\sigma, \Delta')$  is the following valid derivation of  $p(c) \supset p(c)[a \mapsto d]$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} p(c)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} p(c)[a \mapsto d]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (\emptyset \vdash_{\text{SUB}} p(c) = p(c)[a \mapsto d])}{\vdash_{\emptyset} p(c) \supset p(c)[a \mapsto d]} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

# Properties of the sequent calculus (3)

**Theorem 3** [Cut elimination]

The (**Cut**) rule is admissible in the system without it.

## Properties of the sequent calculus (3)

**Theorem 3** [Cut elimination]

The (**Cut**) rule is admissible in the system without it.

**Corollary 4** The sequent calculus is **consistent**, i.e.  $\vdash_{\Delta}$  can never be derived.

# Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

Theory FOL extends theory SUB with the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \supset Q \supset P = \top & \quad \neg\neg P \supset P = \top & \text{(Props)} \\
 (P \supset Q) \supset (Q \supset R) \supset (P \supset R) = \top & \quad \perp \supset P = \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall[a]P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top & \text{(Quants)} \\
 \forall[a](P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \forall[a]P \wedge \forall[a]Q = \top \\
 a\#P \rightarrow \forall[a](P \supset Q) \Leftrightarrow P \supset \forall[a]Q = \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$T \approx T = \top \quad U \approx T \wedge P[a \mapsto T] \supset P[a \mapsto U] = \top \quad \text{(Eq)}$$

Axioms are all of the form  $\phi = \top$ , which intuitively means ‘ $\phi$  is true’.

Note that this is a *finite* number of axioms.

## Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (2)

The **conjunctive form**  $\Phi^\wedge$  of a predicate contexts  $\Phi$  is  $\Phi$  where we put  $\wedge$  between its elements. Analogously, define its **disjunctive form** by putting  $\vee$  between its elements. For example:

$$\emptyset^\wedge = \top \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\wedge = \phi \wedge \psi \quad \emptyset^\vee = \perp \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\vee = \phi \vee \psi$$

## Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (2)

The **conjunctive form**  $\Phi^\wedge$  of a predicate contexts  $\Phi$  is  $\Phi$  where we put  $\wedge$  between its elements. Analogously, define its **disjunctive form** by putting  $\vee$  between its elements. For example:

$$\emptyset^\wedge = \top \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\wedge = \phi \wedge \psi \quad \emptyset^\vee = \perp \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\vee = \phi \vee \psi$$

**Theorem 5** For all predicate contexts  $\Phi, \Psi$  and freshness contexts  $\Delta$ :

$$\Phi \vdash_\Delta \Psi \text{ is derivable} \quad \text{iff} \quad \Delta \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \Phi^\wedge \supset \Psi^\vee = \top.$$

So sequent and equational derivability are equivalent.

## Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (2)

The **conjunctive form**  $\Phi^\wedge$  of a predicate contexts  $\Phi$  is  $\Phi$  where we put  $\wedge$  between its elements. Analogously, define its **disjunctive form** by putting  $\vee$  between its elements. For example:

$$\emptyset^\wedge = \top \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\wedge = \phi \wedge \psi \quad \emptyset^\vee = \perp \quad \{\phi, \psi\}^\vee = \phi \vee \psi$$

**Theorem 5** For all predicate contexts  $\Phi, \Psi$  and freshness contexts  $\Delta$ :

$$\Phi \vdash_\Delta \Psi \text{ is derivable} \quad \text{iff} \quad \Delta \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \Phi^\wedge \supset \Psi^\vee = \top.$$

So sequent and equational derivability are equivalent.

**Corollary 6** Theory FOL is consistent, i.e.  $\Delta \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \top = \perp$  does not hold.



# Relation to First-order Logic

Call a term or a predicate context **ground** if it does not contain unknowns or explicit substitutions.

Call  $\Phi \vdash \Psi$  a **first-order sequent**, when  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are ground predicate contexts.

Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi} \text{ (Ax)} \quad \overline{\perp, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\perp L)} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi \quad \psi, \Phi \vdash \Psi}{\phi \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\supset L)} \quad \frac{\phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} \text{ (\supset R)} \\
 \\
 \frac{\phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash \Psi}{\forall a. \phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\forall L)} \quad \frac{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi}{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \forall a. \phi} \text{ (\forall R)} \quad (a \notin fn(\Phi, \Psi)) \\
 \\
 \frac{\phi[a \mapsto t'], \Phi \vdash \Psi}{t' \approx t, \phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\approx L)} \quad \overline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, t \approx t} \text{ (\approx R)}
 \end{array}$$

## Relation to First-order Logic (2)

Note that:

- we write  $\forall a.\phi$  for  $\forall[a]\phi$ ;
- $\llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$  is capture-avoiding substitution;
- $a \notin fn(\phi)$  is ‘ $a$  does not occur in the free names of  $\phi$ ’;
- we take predicates up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

## Relation to First-order Logic (2)

Note that:

- we write  $\forall a.\phi$  for  $\forall[a]\phi$ ;
- $\llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$  is capture-avoiding substitution;
- $a \notin fn(\phi)$  is ‘ $a$  does not occur in the free names of  $\phi$ ’;
- we take predicates up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

**Theorem 7**  $\Phi \vdash \Psi$  is derivable in the sequent calculus for first-order logic, iff  $\Phi \vdash_{\emptyset} \Psi$  is derivable in the sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

So on ground terms, one-and-a-halfth-order logic *is* first-order logic.

# Semantics

For closed terms  $t$ , its **ground form**  $t\llbracket\ \rrbracket$  is  $t$  in which each explicit substitution  $v[a \mapsto u]$  is replaced by  $v\llbracket a \mapsto u \rrbracket$  bottom-up in the syntax.

**Theorem 8** For closed terms  $t$ ,  $\vdash_{\text{SUB}} t = t\llbracket\ \rrbracket$

Call a substitution  $\sigma$  **closing for a term**  $t$  if  $t\sigma$  is closed.

A term-in-context  $\Delta \rightarrow \phi$  is **valid** iff for all closing substitutions  $\sigma$  (for  $\phi$ ) for which  $\vdash \Delta\sigma$  holds,  $\phi\sigma\llbracket\ \rrbracket$  is valid in the semantics of first-order logic.

# Semantics

For closed terms  $t$ , its **ground form**  $t\llbracket\ \rrbracket$  is  $t$  in which each explicit substitution  $v[a \mapsto u]$  is replaced by  $v\llbracket a \mapsto u \rrbracket$  bottom-up in the syntax.

**Theorem 8** For closed terms  $t$ ,  $\vdash_{\text{SUB}} t = t\llbracket\ \rrbracket$

Call a substitution  $\sigma$  **closing for a term**  $t$  if  $t\sigma$  is closed.

A term-in-context  $\Delta \rightarrow \phi$  is **valid** iff for all closing substitutions  $\sigma$  (for  $\phi$ ) for which  $\vdash \Delta\sigma$  holds,  $\phi\sigma\llbracket\ \rrbracket$  is valid in the semantics of first-order logic.

The sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic is **sound** for this semantics:

**Theorem 9** If  $\vdash_{\Delta} \phi$  is derivable then  $\Delta \rightarrow \phi$  is valid.

# Conclusions

Using nominal terms, we can:

- *accurately* represent systems with binding:  
e.g. explicit substitution and first-order logic;
- specify *novel* systems with their own mathematical interest:  
e.g. one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

One-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- makes meta-level concepts of first-order logic *explicit*;
- has a sequent calculus with *syntax-directed* rules;
- has a *semantics* in first-order logic;
- has a *finite* equational axiomatisation;
- is the *result* of axiomatising first-order logic in nominal algebra.

## Related work

Second-order logic (SOL):

- In this logic we can quantify over predicates *anywhere*, which makes it more expressive than one-and-a-half-order logic.
- On the other hand, we can easily extend theory FOL with *one* axiom to express the principle of induction on natural numbers:

$$P[a \mapsto 0] \wedge \forall[a](P \supset P[a \mapsto \text{succ}(a)]) \supset \forall[a]P = \top.$$

Higher-order logic (HOL) is type raising, while one-and-a-half-order logic is *not*:  $P[a \mapsto t]$  corresponds to  $f(t)$  in HOL, where  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ ;  $P[a \mapsto t][a' \mapsto t']$  corresponds to  $f'(t)(t')$  where  $f' : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ , and so on...

One-and-a-half-order logic is not a subset of SOL or HOL because of freshnesses.

# Future work

- Completeness of the sequent calculus with respect to the semantics.
- Let unknowns range over *sequent derivations*, and establish a Curry-Howard correspondence (term-in-contexts as types, derivations as terms).
- Two-and-a-halfth-order logic (where you can abstract X)?
- Implementation and automation?



## Future work

- Completeness of the sequent calculus with respect to the semantics.
- Let unknowns range over *sequent derivations*, and establish a Curry-Howard correspondence (term-in-contexts as types, derivations as terms).
- Two-and-a-halfth-order logic (where you can abstract X)?
- Implementation and automation?

## Current status

- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Nominal Algebra, submitted CSL'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Capture-avoiding Substitution as a Nominal Algebra, submitted ICTAC'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, One-and-a-halfth-order Logic, PPDP'06.

# Just to scare you

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (Ax)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[a]P[b \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall L)} \\
 \frac{}{(\forall[a]P)[b \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto a][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (StructL)} \quad \text{(I.)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall L)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall R)} \quad \text{(2.)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} \forall[c]P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad \text{(3.)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]} \text{ (Fresh)} \quad \text{(4.)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]}
 \end{array}$$

Side-conditions:

1.  $c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto c] = (\forall[a]P)[b \mapsto c]$
2.  $c\#P \vdash c\#\forall[b]\forall[a]P$
3.  $c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[c]P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c] = \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]$
4.  $c \notin \forall[b]\forall[a]P, \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]$