

One-and-a-halfth-order Logic

Aad Mathijssen

Murdoch J. Gabbay

24th May 2006

Motivation

Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\phi \supset \phi[a \mapsto t]$
- if $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]$ ■

These are *not valid syntax* in first-order logic, because of *meta-level concepts*:

- meta-variables *varying* over syntax: ϕ, ψ, a, b, t
- properties of syntax: $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi), \phi[a \mapsto t], \alpha$ -equivalence ■

Is there a logic in which the above assertions can be expressed directly in the syntax?

Motivation (2)

Consider the following derivations in Gentzen's sequent calculus:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} \text{ (Ax)}}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

And for $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$:

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a. \phi \vdash \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall a. \phi \supset \forall b. \phi \llbracket a \mapsto b \rrbracket} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c. p(c) \vdash \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (Ax)}}{\vdash \forall c. p(c) \supset \forall d. p(d)} \text{ (}\supset\text{R)}$$

The left ones are not derivations, they are *schemas* of derivations.

When p is a *specific* atomic predicate and c and d are *specific* variables, the right ones are derivations; they are *instances* of the schemas on the left. ■

Is there a logic in which the derivation on the left is a derivation too?

Motivation (3)

First-order logic and its sequent calculus formalises *reasoning*.

But also a lot of reasoning is *about* first-order logic.

So why shouldn't that be formalised?

One-and-a-halfth-order logic does this by means of:

- formalising meta-variables;
- making properties of syntax explicit.

Overview

- Introduction to one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Relation to first-order logic
- Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Conclusions, related and future work

Introduction

In the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- *Unknowns* P , Q and T represent meta-level variables ϕ , ψ and t .
- *Atoms* a and b represent meta-level variables a and b .
- *Freshness* $a\#P$ represents $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$.
- *Explicit substitution* $P[a \mapsto T]$ represents $\phi[[a \mapsto t]]$.

Introduction (2)

The meta-level assertions in first-order logic

- $\phi \supset \psi \supset \phi$
- if $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- if $a \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\phi \supset \phi[a \mapsto t]$
- if $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$ then $\forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]$

correspond to valid assertions in the syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $P \supset Q \supset P$
- $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P$
- $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$
- $b\#P \rightarrow \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]$

Introduction (3)

In derivations of one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- *Contexts of freshnesses* are added to the sequents.
- *Derivability of freshnesses* are added as side-conditions.
- *Substitutional equivalence on terms* is added as two derivation rules, taking care of α -equivalence and substitution.

Introduction (4)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\psi, \phi \vdash \phi} (\mathbf{Ax})}{\phi \vdash \psi \supset \phi} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash \phi \supset \psi \supset \phi} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} (\mathbf{Ax})}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{Q, P \vdash P} (\mathbf{Ax})}{P \vdash Q \supset P} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash P \supset Q \supset P} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(d), p(c) \vdash p(c)} (\mathbf{Ax})}{p(c) \vdash p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})}{\vdash p(c) \supset p(d) \supset p(c)} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

Introduction (5)

The (schematic) derivations in first-order logic, where $b \notin \text{fn}(\phi)$,

$$\frac{\overline{\forall a.\phi \vdash \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]}}{\vdash \forall a.\phi \supset \forall b.\phi[a \mapsto b]} \quad (\text{Ax}) \quad (\supset\text{R})$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall c.\mathbf{p}(c) \vdash \forall d.\mathbf{p}(d)}}{\vdash \forall c.\mathbf{p}(c) \supset \forall d.\mathbf{p}(d)} \quad (\text{Ax}) \quad (\supset\text{R})$$

correspond to valid derivations in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[a]P}}{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]}} \quad (\text{StructR}) \quad (b\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P = \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b])$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[a]P \vdash_{b\#P} \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]}}{\vdash_{b\#P} \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]} \quad (\supset\text{R})$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c)}}{\overline{\forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[d]\mathbf{p}(d)}} \quad (\text{Ax}) \quad (\text{StructR}) \quad (\emptyset \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c) = \forall[d]\mathbf{p}(d))$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c) \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[d]\mathbf{p}(d)}}{\vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[c]\mathbf{p}(c) \supset \forall[d]\mathbf{p}(d)} \quad (\supset\text{R})$$

Syntax of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

We use **Nominal Terms** to specify the syntax.

Nominal terms have built-in support for:

- meta-variables
- freshness
- binding

Nominal terms allow for a *direct* and *natural* representation of systems with binding.

Nominal terms are *first-order*, not higher-order.

Sorts

Base sorts \mathbb{P} for ‘predicates’ and \mathbb{T} for ‘terms’.

Atomic sort \mathbb{A} for the object-level variables.

Sorts τ :

$$\tau ::= \mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{T} \mid \mathbb{A} \mid [\mathbb{A}]\tau$$

Terms

Atoms a, b, c, \dots have sort \mathbb{A} ; they represent *object-level* variable symbols.

Unknowns X, Y, Z, \dots have sort τ ; they represent *meta-level* variable symbols.
Let P, Q, R be unknowns of sort \mathbb{P} , and T, U of sort \mathbb{T} .

We call $\pi \cdot X$ a **moderated unknown**.

This represents the **permutation of atoms** π acting on an unknown term.

Term-formers f_ρ have an associated **arity** $\rho = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau$.

$f : \rho$ means ‘ f with arity ρ ’.

Terms t , subscripts indicate sorting rules:

$$t ::= a_{\mathbb{A}} \mid (\pi \cdot X_\tau)_\tau \mid ([a_{\mathbb{A}}]t_\tau)_{[\mathbb{A}]\tau} \mid (f_{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau}(t_{\tau_1}^1, \dots, t_{\tau_n}^n))_\tau$$

Write f for $f()$ if $n = 0$.

Terms (2)

Term-formers for one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $\perp : ()\mathbb{P}$ represents *falsity*;
- $\supset : (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$ represents *implication*, write $\phi \supset \psi$ for $\supset(\phi, \psi)$;
- $\forall : ([\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P})\mathbb{P}$ represents *universal quantification*, write $\forall[a]\phi$ for $\forall([a]\phi)$;
- $\approx : (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$ represents *object-level equality*, write $t \approx u$ for $\approx(t, u)$;
- $\text{var} : (\mathbb{A})\mathbb{T}$ is *variable casting*, forced upon us by the sort system, write a for $\text{var}(a)$;
- $\text{sub} : ([\mathbb{A}]\tau, \mathbb{T})\tau$, where $\tau \in \{\mathbb{T}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{P}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{P}\}$, is *explicit substitution*, write $v[a \mapsto t]$ for $\text{sub}([a]v, t)$;
- $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n : (\mathbb{T}, \dots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{P}$ are *object-level predicate term-formers*;
- $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m : (\mathbb{T}, \dots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{T}$ are *object-level term-formers*.

Terms (3)

Sugar:

$$\begin{array}{l} \top \text{ is } \perp \supset \perp \quad \neg\phi \text{ is } \phi \supset \perp \quad \phi \wedge \psi \text{ is } \neg(\phi \supset \neg\psi) \\ \phi \vee \psi \text{ is } \neg\phi \supset \psi \quad \phi \Leftrightarrow \psi \text{ is } (\phi \supset \psi) \wedge (\psi \supset \phi) \quad \exists[a]\phi \text{ is } \neg\forall[a]\neg\phi \end{array}$$

Descending order of operator precedence:

$$[a]\neg, \neg[\neg \mapsto \neg], \approx, \{\neg, \forall, \exists\}, \{\wedge, \vee\}, \supset, \Leftrightarrow$$

\wedge, \vee, \supset and \Leftrightarrow associate to the right. ■

Example terms of sort \mathbb{P} :

$$P \supset Q \supset P \quad P \supset \forall[a]P \quad P \supset P[a \mapsto T] \quad \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]$$

Freshness

Freshness (assertions) $a \# t$, which means ‘ a is fresh for t ’.

If t is an unknown X , the freshness is called **primitive**.

Write Δ for a set of *primitive* freshnesses and call it a **freshness context**.

We may leave out set brackets, writing $a \# X, b \# Y$ instead of $\{a \# X, b \# Y\}$.

We may also write $a \# X, Y$ for $a \# X, a \# Y$.

We call $\Delta \rightarrow t$ a **term-in-context**.

We may write t if $\Delta = \emptyset$. ■

Example terms-in-context of sort \mathbb{P} :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 P \supset Q \supset P & a \# P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P \\
 a \# P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T] & b \# P \rightarrow \forall[a]P \supset \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b]
 \end{array}$$

Derivability of freshness

$$\frac{}{a \# b} (\# \mathbf{ab}) \quad \frac{\pi^{-1}(a) \# X}{a \# \pi \cdot X} (\# \mathbf{X})$$

$$\frac{}{a \# [a]t} (\# [] \mathbf{a}) \quad \frac{a \# t}{a \# [b]t} (\# [] \mathbf{b}) \quad \frac{a \# t_1 \cdots a \# t_n}{a \# \mathbf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\# \mathbf{f})$$

a and b range over distinct atoms.

Write $\Delta \vdash a \# t$ when there exists a derivation of $a \# t$ using the elements of Δ as assumptions. Say that $a \# t$ is **derivable from** Δ . ■

Examples:

$$\vdash a \# \forall[a]P \quad a \# P \vdash a \# \forall[b]P \quad a \# T, U \vdash a \# T \approx U$$

Derivability of equality

Equality (assertions) $t = u$, where t and u are of the same sort.

Derivability:

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ (refl)} \quad \frac{t = u}{u = t} \text{ (symm)} \quad \frac{t = u \quad u = v}{t = v} \text{ (tran)}$$

$$\frac{t = u}{C[t] = C[u]} \text{ (cong)} \quad \frac{a \# t \quad b \# t}{(a \ b) \cdot t = t} \text{ (perm)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta^\pi \sigma}{t^\pi \sigma = u^\pi \sigma} \text{ (ax}_A\text{)} \quad A \text{ is } \Delta \rightarrow t = u$$

$$\frac{[a \# X_1, \dots, a \# X_n] \quad \Delta}{t = u} \text{ (fr)} \quad (a \notin t, u, \Delta)$$

Write $\Delta \vdash_\tau t = u$ when $t = u$ is **derivable from** Δ using **axioms** A from T only.

Derivability of equality (2)

Nominal Algebra is the logic of equality between nominal terms.

Nominal algebraic theory SUB of explicit substitution:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\mathbf{var} \mapsto) \quad a[a \mapsto T] = T \\
 (\# \mapsto) \quad a\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto T] = X \\
 (\mathbf{f} \mapsto) \quad \mathbf{f}(X_1, \dots, X_n)[a \mapsto T] = \mathbf{f}(X_1[a \mapsto T], \dots, X_n[a \mapsto T]) \\
 (\mathbf{abs} \mapsto) \quad b\#T \rightarrow ([b]X)[a \mapsto T] = [b](X[a \mapsto T]) \\
 (\mathbf{ren} \mapsto) \quad b\#X \rightarrow X[a \mapsto b] = (b a) \cdot X
 \end{array}$$

Examples:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 b\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P = \forall[b]P[a \mapsto b] \\
 \vdash_{\text{SUB}} X[a \mapsto a] = X \\
 a\#Y \vdash_{\text{SUB}} Z[a \mapsto X][b \mapsto Y] = Z[b \mapsto Y][a \mapsto X[b \mapsto Y]]
 \end{array}$$

Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic

We may call terms of sort \mathbb{P} **predicates**, and denote them by ϕ and ψ .

Let **(predicate) contexts** Φ, Ψ be finite sets of predicates.

We may write ϕ for $\{\phi\}$, ϕ, Φ for $\{\phi\} \cup \Phi$, and Φ, Φ' for $\Phi \cup \Phi'$.

A **sequent** is a triple $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$.

We may omit empty predicate contexts, e.g. writing \vdash_{Δ} for $\emptyset \vdash_{\Delta} \emptyset$.

Define derivability on sequents...

Sequent calculus (2)

Rules resembling Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\frac{}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi} (\mathbf{Ax}) \quad \frac{}{\perp, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\perp\mathbf{L})$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\supset\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} (\supset\mathbf{R})$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\forall[a]\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\forall\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \forall[a]\psi} (\forall\mathbf{R}) \quad (\Delta \vdash a \# \Phi, \Psi)$$

$$\frac{\phi[a \mapsto t'], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{t' \approx t, \phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\approx\mathbf{L}) \quad \frac{}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, t \approx t} (\approx\mathbf{R})$$

Sequent calculus (3)

Other rules:

$$\frac{\phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (StructL)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \phi' = \phi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi'}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \psi' = \psi)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta \cup \{a \# x_1, \dots, a \# x_n\}} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (Fresh)} \quad (a \notin \Phi, \Psi, \Delta)$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \quad \phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \text{ (Cut)} \quad (\Delta \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \phi = \phi')$$

Example derivations

Derivation of $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset \forall[a]P$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P} (\mathbf{Ax})}{P \vdash_{a\#P} \forall[a]P} (\forall\mathbf{R})}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset \forall[a]P} (\supset\mathbf{R}) \quad (a\#P \vdash a\#P)$$

Derivation of $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P} (\mathbf{Ax})}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P[a \mapsto T]} (\mathbf{StructR})}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset P[a \mapsto T]} (\supset\mathbf{R}) \quad (a\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T])$$

Properties of the sequent calculus

We may *instantiate* unknowns and *permute* atoms in derivations.

Theorem 1 If Π is a valid derivation of $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ and $\Delta' \vdash \Delta^{\pi} \sigma$, then $\Pi^{\pi}(\sigma, \Delta')$ is a valid derivation of $\Phi^{\pi} \sigma \vdash_{\Delta'} \Psi^{\pi} \sigma$.

$\Pi^{\pi}(\sigma, \Delta')$ is Π in which:

- each atom a is replaced by $\pi(a)$;
- each moderated unknown $\pi' \cdot X$ is replaced by $\pi' \cdot \sigma(X)$;
- each freshness context Δ is replaced by Δ' .

Properties of the sequent calculus (2)

For example, Π is the derivation of $a\#P \rightarrow P \supset P[a \mapsto T]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vdash P} \text{ (Ax)}}{P \vdash_{a\#P} P[a \mapsto T]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (a\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} P = P[a \mapsto T])}{\vdash_{a\#P} P \supset P[a \mapsto T]} \text{ (\supsetR)}$$

Take $\pi = (a b)$, $\sigma = [p(a)/P, a/T]$ and $\Delta' = \emptyset$, then:

- $\Delta' \vdash \Delta^\pi \sigma$, i.e. $\emptyset \vdash b\#p(a)$;
- $\Pi^\pi(\sigma, \Delta')$ is the following valid derivation of $p(a) \supset p(a)[b \mapsto a]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{p(a) \vdash p(a)} \text{ (Ax)}}{p(a) \vdash_{\emptyset} p(a)[b \mapsto a]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (\emptyset \vdash_{\text{SUB}} p(a) = p(a)[b \mapsto a])}{\vdash_{\emptyset} p(a) \supset p(a)[b \mapsto a]} \text{ (\supsetR)}$$

Properties of the sequent calculus (3)

Theorem 2 [Cut elimination]

The (Cut) rule is admissible in the system without it. ■

Corollary 3 The sequent calculus is **consistent**, i.e. \vdash_{Δ} can never be derived.

Relation to First-order Logic

Call a term or a predicate context **ground** if it does not contain unknowns or explicit substitutions.

Call $\Phi \vdash \Psi$ a **first-order sequent**, when Φ and Ψ are ground predicate contexts.

Genzten's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{\phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi} \text{ (Ax)} \quad \overline{\perp, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\perp L)} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi \quad \psi, \Phi \vdash \Psi}{\phi \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\supset L)} \quad \frac{\phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi, \psi}{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} \text{ (\supset R)} \\
 \\
 \frac{\phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \Phi \vdash \Psi}{\forall a. \phi, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\forall L)} \quad \frac{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \phi}{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \forall a. \phi} \text{ (\forall R)} \quad (a \notin fn(\Phi, \Psi)) \\
 \\
 \frac{\phi \llbracket a \mapsto t' \rrbracket, \Phi \vdash \Psi}{t' \approx t, \phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \Phi \vdash \Psi} \text{ (\approx L)} \quad \overline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, t \approx t} \text{ (\approx R)}
 \end{array}$$

Relation to First-order Logic (2)

Note that:

- we write $\forall a.\phi$ for $\forall[a]\phi$;
- $\llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket$ is capture-avoiding substitution;
- $a \notin fn(\phi)$ is ‘ a does not occur in the free names of ϕ ’;
- We take predicates up to α -equivalence. ■

Theorem 4 $\Phi \vdash \Psi$ is derivable in the sequent calculus for first-order logic, iff $\Phi \vdash_{\emptyset} \Psi$ is derivable in the sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

So on ground terms, one-and-a-halfth-order logic *is* first-order logic.

Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic

Theory FOL extends theory SUB with the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \supset Q \supset P = \top \quad \neg\neg P \supset P = \top & \quad \text{(Props)} \\
 (P \supset Q) \supset (Q \supset R) \supset (P \supset R) = \top \quad \perp \supset P = \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall[a]P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top & \quad \text{(Quants)} \\
 \forall[a](P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \forall[a]P \wedge \forall[a]Q = \top \\
 a\#P \rightarrow \forall[a](P \supset Q) \Leftrightarrow P \supset \forall[a]Q = \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$T \approx T = \top \quad U \approx T \wedge P[a \mapsto T] \supset P[a \mapsto U] = \top \quad \text{(Eq)}$$

Axioms are all of the form $\phi = \top$, which intuitively means ‘ ϕ is true’.

Note that this is a *finite* number of axioms.

Axiomatisation of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (2)

For $\Phi \equiv \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$, define its **conjunctive form** Φ^\wedge to be \top when $n = 0$, and $\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n$ when $n > 0$. Analogously, define the **disjunctive form** Φ^\vee to be \perp when $n = 0$, and $\phi_1 \vee \dots \vee \phi_n$ when $n > 0$. ■

Theorem 5 For all predicate contexts Φ, Ψ and freshness contexts Δ :

$$\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi \text{ is derivable} \quad \text{iff} \quad \Delta \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \Phi^\wedge \supset \Psi^\vee = \top.$$

So sequent and equational derivability are equivalent. ■

Corollary 6 Theory FOL is consistent, i.e. $\Delta \vdash_{\text{FOL}} \top = \perp$ does not hold.

Conclusions

Using nominal terms, we can:

- *accurately* represent systems with binding:
e.g. explicit substitution and first-order logic;
- specify *novel* systems with their own mathematical interest:
e.g. one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

One-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- makes meta-level concepts of first-order logic *explicit*;
- has a sequent calculus with *syntax-directed* rules;
- has a *semantics* in first-order logic on ground terms;
- has a *finite* equational axiomatisation;
- is the *result* of axiomatising first-order logic in nominal algebra.

Related work

Second-order logic:

- In this logic we can quantify over predicates *anywhere*, which makes it more expressive than one-and-a-half-order logic.
- On the other hand, we can easily extend theory FOL with *one* axiom to express the principle of induction on natural numbers:

$$P[a \mapsto 0] \wedge \forall[a](P \supset P[a \mapsto \text{succ}(\text{var}(a))]) \supset \forall[a]P = \top.$$

Higher-order logic (HOL):

- is type raising, while one-and-a-half-order logic is *not*: $P[a \mapsto t]$ corresponds to $f(t)$ in HOL, where $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$; $P[a \mapsto t][a' \mapsto t']$ corresponds to $f'(t)(t')$ where $f' : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, and so on...
- One-and-a-half-order logic is not a subset of HOL because of freshnesses.

Future work

- Concrete semantics for one-and-a-halfth-order logic on non-ground terms.
- Let unknowns range over *sequent derivations*, and establish a Curry-Howard correspondence (term-in-contexts as types, derivations as terms).
- Two-and-a-halfth-order logic (where you can abstract X)?
- Implementation and automation? ■

Current status

- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Nominal Algebra, submitted CSL'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Capture-avoiding Substitution as a Nominal Algebra, submitted ICTAC'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, One-and-a-halfth-order Logic, PPDP'06.

Just to scare you

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (Ax)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[a]P[b \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall L)} \\
 \frac{}{(\forall[a]P)[b \mapsto c] \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto a][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (StructL)} \quad (1.) \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall L)} \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (\forall R)} \quad (2.) \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} \forall[c]P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c]} \text{ (StructR)} \quad (3.) \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{c\#P} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]} \text{ (Fresh)} \quad (4.) \\
 \frac{}{\forall[b]\forall[a]P \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]}
 \end{array}$$

Side-conditions:

1. $c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[a]P[b \mapsto c] = (\forall[a]P)[b \mapsto c]$
2. $c\#P \vdash c\#\forall[b]\forall[a]P$
3. $c\#P \vdash_{\text{SUB}} \forall[c]P[b \mapsto c][a \mapsto c] = \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]$
4. $c \notin \forall[b]\forall[a]P, \forall[a]P[b \mapsto a]$