One-and-a-halfth-order Logic

Aad Mathijssen Murdoch J. Gabbay

4th May 2006



Consider the following valid assertions in first-order logic:

- $\bullet \ \phi \supset (\psi \supset \phi)$
- if $a \not\in fn(\phi)$ then $\phi \supset \forall a.\phi$
- $\bullet \text{ if } a \not\in f\!n(\phi) \text{ then } \phi \supset (\phi[\![a \mapsto t]\!]) \blacksquare$

These are not valid syntax in first-order logic, because of meta-level concepts:

• meta-variables *varying* over syntax: ϕ , ψ , a, t

technische universiteit eindhoven

- properties of syntax:
 - freshness assumptions: $a \not\in fn(\phi)$
 - capture-avoiding substitution: $\phi[\![a\mapsto t]\!]$

Is there a logic in which the above assertions are valid syntax?



Introduction (2)

Consider the following (sequent) derivations:





The left one is not a derivation, it is a *schema* of derivations.

The right one is a derivation, it is an *instance* of the schema on the left.

Is there a logic in which the derivation on the left is a derivation too?

One-and-a-halfth-order logic makes meta-level concepts *explicit*.

The following *judgements* are valid in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- $\bullet \; P \supset (Q \supset P) = \top$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset \forall [a] P = \top$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset (P[a \mapsto T]) = \top$

technische universiteit eindhoven

No meta-level concepts:

- \bullet P, Q and T are unknowns, representing meta-level variables
- $\bullet~a$ is an *atom*, representing an object-level variable
- a # P is a *freshness*, representing a is fresh for P
- $P[a \mapsto T]$ is an *explicit substitution*, repr. capture-avoiding substitution



Introduction (4)

One-and-a-halfth-order logic makes meta-level concepts *explicit*.

The following (sequent) derivations are valid in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:





Introduction (5)

One-and-a-halfth-order logic makes meta-level concepts *explicit*.

The following (sequent) derivation is valid in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{P \vdash_{a \# P} P}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\frac{P \vdash_{a \# P} \forall [a] P}{\vdash_{a \# P} \forall [a] P} (\forall \mathbf{R})} (a \# P \vdash a \# P)$$

Side condition $a \# P \vdash a \# P$: freshness a # P is derivable from the assumption a # P.

Introduction (6)

One-and-a-halfth-order logic makes meta-level concepts *explicit*.

The following (sequent) derivation is valid in one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

$$\frac{\overline{P \vdash_{a \# P} P}\left(\mathbf{Ax}\right)}{\frac{P \vdash_{a \# P} P[a \mapsto T]}{\vdash_{a \# P} P[a \mapsto T]} (\mathbf{StructR}) \quad (a \# P \vdash_{\mathsf{sub}} P = P[a \mapsto T])} (\supset \mathbf{R})$$

Side condition $a \# P \vdash_{SUB} P = P[a \mapsto T]$: equality $P = P[a \mapsto T]$ is derivable from the assumption a # P in theory SUB.

The rule $(\mathbf{StructR})$ lets us replace the right-hand side $P[a \mapsto T]$ of the equality assertion by its left-hand side P.



Overview

- Nominal Algebra:
 - Signature, axioms and theories

technische universiteit eindhoven

- Equational theory of one-and-a-halfth order logic
- Equational proof system
- Sequent calculus for one-and-a-halfth-order logic
- Relation to first-order logic
- Conclusions, related and future work

... is a theory of algebraic equality on *nominal terms*.

... has built-in support for *binding* and *freshnesses*.

technische universiteit eindhoven

... is *first-order*, not higher-order.

... allows for *direct* and *natural* representation of existing systems with binding.

... also allows for *novel* systems like one-and-a-halfth-order logic.



Signature

 δ ranges over base sorts.

 \mathbbm{A} ranges over atomic sorts.

Sorts τ :

$$\tau ::= \delta \mid \mathbb{A} \mid [\mathbb{A}]\tau$$

Term-formers f_{ρ} have an associated **arity** $\rho = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)\tau$. f : ρ means 'f with arity ρ '.

A signature $\Sigma=(D,A,F)$ where D, A and F are finite sets of base sorts, atomic sorts and term-formers.

Signature (2)

Atoms a, b, c, \ldots have sort \mathbb{A} ; they represent *object-level* variable symbols.

Unknowns X, Y, Z, \ldots have sort τ ; they represent *meta-level* variable symbols.

A **permutation** π of atoms is a total bijection $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ with finite support: $\pi(a) \neq a$ for a finite number of *a*'s and $\pi(a) = a$ for all others.

We call $\pi \cdot X$ a **moderated unknown**. This represents the permutation of atoms π acting on an unknown term.

Terms *t*, subscripts indicate sorting rules:

$$t ::= a_{\mathbb{A}} \mid (\pi \cdot X_{\tau})_{\tau} \mid [a_{\mathbb{A}}] t_{\tau} \mid (\mathsf{f}_{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\tau}(t_{\tau_1}^1, \dots, t_{\tau_n}^n))_{\tau}$$

Signature (3)

Signature for one-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- Base sorts $\mathbb F$ for 'formulae' and $\mathbb T$ for 'terms'; atomic sort $\mathbb A;$
- Term-formers:
 - \perp : () \mathbb{F} represents *falsity*;
 - $-\supset:(\mathbb{F},\mathbb{F})\mathbb{F} \text{ represents implication, write } \phi\supset\psi \text{ for } \supset(\phi,\psi);$
 - $\forall : ([\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{F})\mathbb{F} \text{ represents universal quantification, write } \forall [a]\phi \text{ for } \forall ([a]\phi);$
 - $\approx: (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{F}$ represents object-level equality, write $t \approx u$ for $\approx(t, u)$;
 - var : (A)T is *variable casting*, forced upon us by the sort system;
 - sub : $([\mathbb{A}]\tau, \mathbb{T})\tau$, where $\tau \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{T}, [\mathbb{A}]\mathbb{F}\}$, is *explicit substitution*, write $t[a \mapsto u]$ for sub([a]t, u);
 - $p_1, \ldots, p_n : (\mathbb{T}, \ldots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{F}$ are object-level predicate term-formers; - $f_1, \ldots, f_m : (\mathbb{T}, \ldots, \mathbb{T})\mathbb{T}$ are object-level term-formers.

Signature (4)

Sugar:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \top \ \mathrm{is} \ \bot \supset \bot & \neg \phi \ \mathrm{is} \ \phi \supset \bot & \phi \land \psi \ \mathrm{is} \ \neg(\phi \supset \neg \psi) \\ \phi \lor \psi \ \mathrm{is} \ \neg \phi \supset \psi & \phi \Leftrightarrow \psi \ \mathrm{is} \ (\phi \supset \psi) \land (\psi \supset \phi) & \exists [a] \phi \ \mathrm{is} \ \neg \forall [a] \phi \end{array}$$

Descending order of operator precedence:

$$_[_\mapsto_],\approx,\,\{\neg,\forall,\exists\},\;\{\wedge,\vee\},\,\supset,\Leftrightarrow$$

 \land , \lor and \supset associate to the right.

Example terms of sort \mathbb{F} :

$$P\supset Q\supset P \qquad P\supset \forall [a]P \qquad P\supset P[a\mapsto T]$$

P,Q are unknowns of sort $\mathbb F$, T is an unknown of sort $\mathbb T$, a is an atom of sort $\mathbb A.$

Freshness (assertions) a # t, which means 'a is fresh for t. If t is an unknown X, the freshness is called **primitive**.

Equality (assertions) t = u, where t and u are of the same sort.

Write Δ for a set of *primitive* freshnesses and call it a **freshness context**. We may leave out set brackets, writing a # X, b # Y instead of $\{a \# X, b \# Y\}$.

We call $\Delta \to A$ a **judgement** where A is an assertion (a # t or t = u). We may leave out $\Delta \to \text{if } \Delta$ is empty (\emptyset).

Assertions and judgements (2)

technische universiteit eindhoven

Example equality judgements:

- $\emptyset \to P \supset Q \supset P = \top$, or just $P \supset Q \supset P = \top$
- $\{a \# P\} \to P \supset \forall [a] P = \top$, or just $a \# P \to P \supset \forall [a] P = \top$
- $\{a \# P\} \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top$, or just $a \# P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top$

P,Q are unknowns of sort $\mathbb F$, T is an unknown of sort $\mathbb T$, a is an atom of sort $\mathbb A.$

When are these valid?

We allow equality judgements $\Delta \rightarrow t = u$ with finite Δ as **axioms**.

A theory $T = (\Sigma, Ax)$ where:

- Σ is a signature;
- Ax is a possibly infinite set of axioms.

technische universiteit eindhoven



- CORE: a theory of α -conversion
- SUB: a theory of explicit substitution

• FOL: a theory of one-and-a-halfth-order logic (watch the name)

Relation between the theories:

- Signature is the same (previously introduced)
- Axioms of smaller theories are contained in bigger ones according to the following relation:

 $\mathsf{CORE} \subset \mathsf{SUB} \subset \mathsf{FOL}$

Axioms and theories (3)

Axioms of CORE: none!

Axioms of SUB:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{f}\mapsto) & \mathbf{f}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)[a\mapsto T]=\mathbf{f}(X_1[a\mapsto T],\ldots,X_n[a\mapsto T])\\ (\mathbf{abs}\mapsto) & b\#T\to([b]X)[a\mapsto T]=[b](X[a\mapsto T])\\ (\mathbf{var}\mapsto) & \mathbf{var}(a)[a\mapsto T]=T\\ (\#\mapsto) & a\#X\to X[a\mapsto T]=X\\ (\mathbf{ren}\mapsto) & b\#X\to X[a\mapsto \mathbf{var}(b)]=(b\ a)\cdot X \end{array}$$

f ranges over all term-formers excluding var, but including sub. a and b are distinct atoms. T is an unknown of sort \mathbb{T} , X, X_1, \ldots, X_n are unknowns of appropriate sorts.

Note that this is a *finite* number of axioms.

Axioms and theories (4)

Axioms of FOL: axioms of SUB extended with

$$P \supset Q \supset P = \top \quad \neg \neg P \supset P = \top \quad \text{(Props)}$$

$$(P \supset Q) \supset (Q \supset R) \supset (P \supset R) = \top \quad \bot \supset P = \top$$

$$\forall [a] P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top \quad \text{(Quants)}$$

$$\forall [a] (P \land Q) \Leftrightarrow \forall [a] P \land \forall [a] Q = \top$$

$$a \# P \rightarrow \forall [a] (P \supset Q) \Leftrightarrow P \supset \forall [a] Q = \top$$

$$T \approx T = \top \quad U \approx T \land P[a \mapsto T] \supset P[a \mapsto U] = \top \quad \text{(Eq)}$$

T, U are unknowns of sort \mathbb{T} , P, Q, R are unknowns of sort \mathbb{F} . Axioms are all of the form $\phi = \top$, which intuitively means ' ϕ is true'.

Note that this is a *finite* number of axioms.

Validity in theory FOL

Example equality judgements:

- $\bullet \; P \supset Q \supset P = \top$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset \forall [a] P = \top$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top$

How can we show that these are valid in theory FOL?

Semantics of Nominal Algebra: not treated here.

Sound and complete *proof system* for Nominal Algebra: treated here.

Derivability of freshnesses

$$\overline{a\#b} (\#\mathbf{ab}) \quad \frac{a\#t_1 \cdots a\#t_n}{a\#\mathsf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\#\mathbf{f}) \quad \frac{\pi^{-1}(a)\#X}{a\#\pi \cdot X} (\#\mathbf{X})$$
$$\overline{a\#[a]t} (\#[]\mathbf{a}) \quad \frac{a\#t}{a\#[b]t} (\#[]\mathbf{b})$$

 \boldsymbol{a} and \boldsymbol{b} range over distinct atoms.

Write $\Delta \vdash a \# t$ when there exists a derivation of a # t using the elements of Δ as assumptions. Say that a # t is derivable from Δ .

A freshness judgement $\Delta \rightarrow a \# t$ is derivable when $\Delta \vdash a \# t$.

Derivability of equalities

$$\begin{split} \overline{t = t} & (\mathbf{refl}) \quad \frac{t = u}{u = t} (\mathbf{symm}) \quad \frac{t = u}{t = v} (\mathbf{tran}) \\ \frac{t = u}{C[t] = C[u]} (\mathbf{cong}) \quad \frac{a \# t \quad b \# t}{(a \ b) \cdot t = t} (\mathbf{perm}) \\ \frac{\Delta^{\pi} \sigma}{t^{\pi} \sigma = u^{\pi} \sigma} (\mathbf{ax_A}) \ A \equiv \Delta \rightarrow t = u \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \# X_1, \dots, a \# X_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \Delta \\ \vdots \\ \frac{t = u}{t = u} (\mathbf{fr}) \quad (a \notin t, u, \Delta) \end{split}$$

Here A is an axiom, and we call $C[_]$ a **context**.

Write $\Delta \vdash_{\tau} t = u$ when t = u is derivable from Δ using axioms from T only. $\Delta \rightarrow t = u$ is derivable in theory T when $\Delta \vdash_{\tau} t = u$.

Derivability of equalities (2)

Write \equiv for syntactic identity.

Define **permutation actions** on terms $\pi \cdot t$, t^{π} :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \cdot a &\equiv \pi(a) & \pi \cdot (\pi' \cdot X) \equiv (\pi \circ \pi') \cdot X \\ \pi \cdot [a]t &\equiv [\pi(a)](\pi \cdot t) & \pi \cdot \mathsf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \equiv \mathsf{f}(\pi \cdot t_1, \dots, \pi \cdot t_n) \\ a^{\pi} &\equiv \pi(a) & (\pi' \cdot X)^{\pi} \equiv (\pi \circ \pi' \circ \pi^{-1}) \cdot X \\ ([a]t)^{\pi} &\equiv [\pi(a)](t^{\pi}) & \mathsf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)^{\pi} \equiv \mathsf{f}(t_1^{\pi}, \dots, t_n^{\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

A substitution σ is an assignment of unknowns to terms of the same sort. Define a substitution action on terms $t\sigma$:

$$a\sigma \equiv a \qquad (\pi \cdot X)\sigma \equiv \pi \cdot \sigma(X)$$
$$([a]t)\sigma \equiv [a]t\sigma \qquad \mathsf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)\sigma \equiv \mathsf{f}(t_1\sigma, \dots, t_n\sigma)$$

Derivable equality judgements in FOL:

- $\bullet \ P \supset Q \supset P = \top, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \vdash_{_{\mathsf{FOL}}} P \supset Q \supset P = \top.$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset \forall [a] P = \top, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad a \# P \vdash_{_{\mathsf{FOL}}} P \supset \forall [a] P = \top$
- $\bullet \ a \# P \to P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad a \# P \vdash_{_{\mathsf{FOL}}} P \supset P[a \mapsto T] = \top$

This concludes the treatment of the *equational* proof system for FOL. Let's have a look at a *sequent calculus* for FOL.

A sequent calculus for FOL

Sequent calculi are often more effective in proving assertions than equational proof systems.

We may call terms of sort \mathbb{F} formulae, and denote them by ϕ and ψ .

Let **(formula) contexts** Φ , Ψ be finite sets of formulae. We may write ϕ for $\{\phi\}$, ϕ , Φ for $\{\phi\} \cup \Phi$, and Φ , Φ' for $\Phi \cup \Phi'$.

A **sequent** is a triple $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$. We may omit empty formula contexts, e.g. writing \vdash_{Δ} for $\emptyset \vdash_{\Delta} \emptyset$.

Define derivability on sequents...

A sequent calculus for FOL (2)

technische universiteit eindhoven

Rules resembling Gentzen's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi} & (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) & \overline{\perp, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\perp \mathbf{L}) \\ \underline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi} & \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\supset \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} (\supset \mathbf{R}) \\ \overline{\phi \supset \psi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\supset \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi \supset \psi} (\supset \mathbf{R}) \\ \underline{\phi[a \mapsto t], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\forall \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} (\forall \mathbf{R}) & (\Delta \vdash a \# \Phi, \Psi) \\ \overline{\psi[a]\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\approx \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \forall[a]\psi} (\approx \mathbf{L}) & (\Delta \vdash a \# \Phi, \Psi) \\ \underline{\phi[a \mapsto t'], \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} (\approx \mathbf{L}) & \overline{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, t \approx t} (\approx \mathbf{R}) \end{split}$$

These are *schemas*: *a* ranges over atoms, t, t' ranges over terms of sort \mathbb{T} , ϕ, ψ range over formulae, and Φ, Ψ range over formula contexts.

A sequent calculus for FOL (3)

technische universiteit eindhoven

Other rules:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\phi, \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \left(\mathbf{StructL} \right) & \left(\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \phi' = \phi \right) \\ \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi'}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \psi} \left(\mathbf{StructR} \right) & \left(\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \psi' = \psi \right) \\ \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta \sqcup \{a \# X_1, \dots, X_n\}} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \left(\mathbf{Fresh} \right) & \left(a \not\in \Phi, \Psi, \Delta \right) \\ \frac{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi, \phi - \phi', \Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi}{\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi} \left(\mathbf{Cut} \right) & \left(\Delta \vdash_{\mathsf{SUB}} \phi = \phi' \right) \end{split}$$



For $\Phi \equiv \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$, define its **conjunctive form** Φ^{\wedge} to be $\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n$ when n > 0, and \top when n = 0. Analogously, define the **disjunctive form** Φ^{\vee} to be $\phi_1 \vee \cdots \vee \phi_n$ when n > 0, and \bot when n = 0.

Theorem 1 For all FOL contexts Φ , Ψ and freshness contexts Δ :

$$\Phi \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} \Psi \text{ is derivable } \quad \text{iff } \quad \Delta \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{FOL}} \Phi^{\wedge} \supset \Psi^{\vee} = \top.$$

So equational and sequent derivability are equivalent.

Theorem 2 If Π is a derivation of $\Phi \vdash_{\Delta} \Psi$ and $\Delta' \vdash \Delta^{\pi} \sigma$, then there exists a derivation Π' of $\Phi^{\pi} \sigma \vdash_{\Delta'} \Psi^{\pi} \sigma$, which is Π in which atoms are *permuted*, unknowns are *instantiated*, and freshness contexts are *replaced*.



Properties of the sequent calculus (2)

Theorem 3 [Cut elimination] The (Cut) rule is admissible in the system without it.

Corollary 4 The sequent calculus and the equational proof systems for FOL are both **consistent**, i.e. for any freshness context Δ :

- \vdash_{Δ} cannot be derived;
- $\Delta \rightarrow \top = \bot$ cannot be derived in FOL.

Call a term **ground** if it does not contain unknowns or explicit substitutions. From now on we only consider terms and formula contexts on ground terms.

A first-order sequent is a pair $\Phi \vdash \Psi$.

Genzten's sequent calculus for first-order logic:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\phi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \phi} \ (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) & \overline{\perp, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\bot \mathbf{L}) \\ \\ \underline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \phi \ \psi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \\ \overline{\phi \supset \psi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\supset \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\phi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \psi} \\ \overline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \phi \supset \psi} \ (\supset \mathbf{R}) \\ \\ \underline{\phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \\ \overline{\forall a.\phi, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\forall \mathbf{L}) & \underline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \ \phi a.\phi} \ (\forall \mathbf{R}) \quad (a \not\in fn(\Phi, \Psi)) \\ \\ \\ \underline{\phi \llbracket a \mapsto t' \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \\ \\ \underline{t' \approx t, \ \phi \llbracket a \mapsto t \rrbracket, \ \Phi \vdash \Psi} \ (\approx \mathbf{L}) & \overline{\Phi \vdash \Psi, \ t \approx t} \ (\approx \mathbf{R}) \end{array}$$

Relation to First-order Logic (2)

Note that:

- We write $\forall a.\phi$ for $\forall [a]\phi$.
- $[\![a\mapsto t]\!]$ is capture-avoiding substitution.
- $a \not\in fn(\phi)$ is 'a does not occur in the free names of ϕ '.
- We take formulae up to α -equivalence, e.g. suppose $p : (\mathbb{T})\mathbb{F}$ is an atomic predicate term-former, then $\forall a.p(a) \vdash \forall b.p(b)$ follows directly by (\mathbf{Ax}) since $\forall a.p(a) =_{\alpha} \forall b.p(b)$.

Theorem 5 $\Phi \vdash \Psi$ is derivable in the sequent calculus for first-order logic, if and only if $\Phi \vdash_{a} \Psi$ is derivable in the sequent calculus for FOL.

So on ground terms, one-and-a-halfth-order logic *is* first-order logic.

Conclusions

Nominal algebra:

- is a system in which we can *accurately* represent systems with binding: e.g. explicit substitution and first-order logic;
- allows for *novel* systems with their own mathematical interest: e.g. one-and-a-halfth-order logic.

One-and-a-halfth-order logic:

- is the *result* of axiomatising first-order logic in Nominal algebra;
- makes meta-level concepts of first-order logic *explicit*;
- has a *finite* equational axiomatisation;

technische universiteit eindhoven

- has a sequent calculus with *syntax-directed* rules;
- has a *semantics* in first-order logic on ground terms.

Related work

Second-order logic:

- In this logic we can quantify over predicates *anywhere*, which makes it more expressive than one-and-a-halfh-order logic.
- Theory FOL does have a second-order flavour. It can easily be extended with one axiom that expresses the principle of induction on natural numbers:

$$P[a \mapsto 0] \land \forall [a] (P \supset P[a \mapsto succ(\mathsf{var}(a))]) \supset \forall [a] P = \top.$$

Higher-order logic (HOL):

- is type raising, while one-and-a-halfth-order logic is *not*: $P[a \mapsto t]$ corresponds to f(t) in HOL, where $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{F}$; $P[a \mapsto t][a' \mapsto t']$ corresponds to f'(t)(t') where $f' : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{F}$, and so on...
- One-and-a-halfth-order logic is not a subset of HOL because of freshnesses.



Future work

- Concrete semantics for one-and-a-halfth-order logic on non-ground terms.
- Two-and-a-halfth-order logic (where you can abstract X)?
- Implementation and automation?

Current status

- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Nominal Algebra, submitted CSL'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, Capture-avoiding Substitution as a Nominal Algebra, submitted ICTAC'06.
- M.J. Gabbay, A.H.J. Mathijssen, One-and-a-halfth-order Logic, submitted PPDP'06.

Just to scare you

ΤU

$$\frac{P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)] \vdash_{c \# P} P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)]}{(\forall \mathbf{L})} (\mathbf{Ax}) \\
\frac{\forall [a](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)]) \vdash_{c \# P} P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)]}{(\forall [a]P)[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)] \vdash_{c \# P} P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(a)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)]} (\mathbf{StructL}) \quad (\mathbf{I}.) \\
\frac{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{c \# P} P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)]}{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{c \# P} \forall [c](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)][a \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)])} (\forall \mathbf{R}) \quad (\mathbf{2}.) \\
\frac{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{c \# P} \forall [a](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(c)])}{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{c \# P} \forall [a](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(a)])} (\mathbf{StructR}) \quad (\mathbf{3}.) \\
\frac{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall [a](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(a)])}{\forall [b]\forall [a]P \vdash_{\emptyset} \forall [a](P[b \mapsto \operatorname{var}(a)])} (\mathbf{Fresh}) \quad (\mathbf{4}.)$$

Side-conditions:

I.
$$c \# P \vdash_{sub} \forall [a] (P[b \mapsto var(c)]) = (\forall [a]P)[b \mapsto var(c)]$$

2. $c \# P \vdash c \# \forall [b] \forall [a]P$
3. $c \# P \vdash_{sub} \forall [c] (P[b \mapsto var(c)][a \mapsto var(c)]) = \forall [a] (P[b \mapsto var(a)])$
4. $c \notin \forall [b] \forall [a]P, \forall [a] (P[b \mapsto var(a)])$